

am aware of how th	e University	planning	processe	s work.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	10	15.38%								
Agree			(2)	38	58.46%						2.16		
Disagree			(3)	10	15.38%						2.16		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	5	7.69%								
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.08%								
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course		

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.					
MUW uses a well-def	ined proces	s to estab	lish, evalu	ate, and char	nge its instit	utiona	l goals.			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	12	18.75%					
Agree			(2)	39	60.94%			ı		
Disagree			(3)	6	9.38%					2.00
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	4.69%					
Not Applicable			(0)	4	6.25%					
						0	25 50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD								
64/188 (34.04%)	2.00	0.71								

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The University plann	ing process	es are gui	ded by MI	JW's mission	-						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	15	23.08%						
Agree			(2)	41	63.08%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.08%						1.81
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.54%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	6	9.23%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.81	0.57									

Response Option		-	nning prod	cess.							
			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	27	41.54%						
Agree			(2)	31	47.69%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%						1.58
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.54%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	6	9.23%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course

actively participate i	n the plann	ing proces	s of my f	unctional unit							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	oonses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	16	24.62%						
Agree			(2)	35	53.85%						
Disagree			(3)	6	9.23%						1.97
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	6.15%						
lot Applicable			(0)	4	6.15%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.							
My functional unit re	gularly form	ulates go	als for the	unit.								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Re	esponse	es		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	21	32.81%							
Agree			(2)	34	53.13%							
Disagree			(3)	5	7.81%							1.81
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.13%							
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.13%							
						0	25	50	75	5	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
64/188 (34.04%)	1.81	0.72										


2 - Please rate the foll	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The goals of my funct	tional unit a	re consist	tent with t	he purpose o	f the unit.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	31	47.69%						
Agree			(2)	29	44.62%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					1.56
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.08%						
Not Applicable			(0)	3	4.62%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD					·	·		·	
65/188 (34.57%)	1.56	0.67					•	-	•	-	

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The goals formulated	by my fund	tional uni	t are cons	istent with the	e mission of	the !	University	.			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Res	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	35	53.85%						
Agree			(2)	26	40%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.54%	ı					1.49
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.54%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.08%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.49	0.62									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I am currently workin	g on meetin	g one or i	nore of th	e planning go	als for my fo	unctio	nal unit.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	22	34.38%						
Agree			(2)	29	45.31%						
Disagree			(3)	3	4.69%						1.69
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.56%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	9	14.06%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
64/188 (34.04%)	1.69	0.66									

2 - Please rate the following	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
At least once a year, i	my function	al unit eva	aluates th	e progress it	is making to	ward	achievii	ng goals			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	27	41.54%						
Agree			(2)	29	44.62%						
Disagree			(3)	3	4.62%						1.67
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.08%						
Not Applicable			(0)	4	6.15%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.67	0.72									

2 - Please rate the following	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The results of the eva	luation of n	ny unit's g	oals are u	sed to impro	ve the unit.						
Response Option	sponse Option				Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	23	35.38%			1			
Agree			(2)	32	49.23%						
Disagree			(3)	5	7.69%						1.77
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.08%						
Not Applicable			(0)	3	4.62%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.77	0.73						•	-		



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.					
I am aware of how bu	dget planni	ng is relat	ed to sho	rt and long-te	rm goals of	my unit.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	14	21.54%					
Agree			(2)	29	44.62%					
Disagree			(3)	10	15.38%					2.14
Strongly Disagree			(4)	6	9.23%					
Not Applicable			(0)	6	9.23%					
						0 25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·					·		
65/188 (34.57%)	2.14	0.90								

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.							
I am aware of how fin	al budget d	ecisions a	re made.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent	Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	8	12.31%							
Agree			(2)	30	46.15%							2.43
Disagree			(3)	12	18.46%							
Strongly Disagree			(4)	11	16.92%							
Not Applicable			(0)	4	6.15%							
						0	25		50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
65/188 (34.57%)	2.43	0.94										

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
Budget preparation a	nd impleme	ntation at	MUW are	preceded by	sound planr	ning.					
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	13	20%						
Agree			(2)	35	53.85%						
Disagree			(3)	6	9.23%						2.05
Strongly Disagree			(4)	5	7.69%						
Not Applicable			(0)	6	9.23%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD		·			, and the second	·			
65/188 (34.57%)	2.05	0.82									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	coraing to	tneir accura	cy.						
At least once a year,	my job perf	ormance i	s evaluat	ed by my sup	ervisor.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	42	65.63%						
Agree			(2)	18	28.13%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.56%	ı					1.37
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.56%	ı					1.37
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.13%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
64/188 (34.04%)	1.37	0.61									

t Frequency	Percent	by those whom I Percent	Responses		
9					Means
	17.31%				
16	30.77%				
0	0%]			1.64
0	0%	1			
27	51.92%				
	•	0 25	50 75	100	Course
	0 0	0 0%	0 0% 0 0% 27 51.92%	0 0% 0 0% 27 51.92%	0 0% 0 0% 27 51.92%

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I am provided with the	e results of	my perfor	mance ev	aluations.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Re	sponse	s	Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	37	56.92%						
Agree			(2)	23	35.38%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.54%	ı					1.45
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.54%	ı					110
Not Applicable			(0)	3	4.62%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.45	0.62									


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I was given the oppo	rtunity to gi	ve and red	eive feedl	oack on my a	nnual perfor	man	e evaluat	on with	my sup	ervisor	
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	38	58.46%						
Agree			(2)	24	36.92%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					1.43
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.54%	ı					1.43
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.08%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD		·				·		·	
65/188 (34.57%)	1.43	0.59					-	-		-	

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I routinely make char	ges in my j	ob perfori	nance bas	sed upon eval	uations.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percer	nt Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	31	47.69%						
Agree			(2)	27	41.54%			l			
Disagree			(3)	2	3.08%						1.56
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.54%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	4	6.15%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.56	0.65									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
Staff members are ev	aluated bas	ed upon t	he perform	nance of duti	es.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	32	49.23%						
Agree			(2)	25	38.46%						
Disagree			(3)	4	6.15%						1.66
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	4.62%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.54%	I					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·								
65/188 (34.57%)	1.66	0.80									

2 - Please rate the following	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
Supervisors use the r	esults of pe	riodic eva	aluations 1	o improve th	e quality of v	vork.					
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	24	37.5%						
Agree			(2)	30	46.88%						
Disagree			(3)	6	9.38%						1.70
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						
Not Applicable			(0)	4	6.25%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
64/188 (34.04%)	1.70	0.65									


2 - Please rate the following	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
Staff salaries are base	ed on appro	priate co	nsideratio	n of job dutie	s.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	6	9.23%						
Agree			(2)	31	47.69%						2.51
Disagree			(3)	17	26.15%						
Strongly Disagree			(4)	11	16.92%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	2.51	0.89									



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The staff salary levels	and total o	ompensa	tion progr	am are adequ	ate to assu	re posi	tive sta	iff morale	and mo	tivation	1.
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	5	7.69%						
Agree			(2)	26	40%						2.63
Disagree			(3)	22	33.85%						
Strongly Disagree			(4)	12	18.46%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
			ı	'		0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	2.63	0.88						•			

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.							
The University encou	rages staff/	administra	ators to pa	articipate in n	nembership	and lea	dersh	ip activ	ities i	n their	r fields	
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Re	espon	ses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	11	16.92%							
Agree			(2)	38	58.46%							
Disagree			(3)	10	15.38%		1					2.14
Strongly Disagree			(4)	5	7.69%							
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.54%	1						
						0	25	50		75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
65/188 (34.57%)	2.14	0.79										

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The University provid	les adequat	e technolo	gy resou	rces.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	12	18.46%						
Agree			(2)	41	63.08%						
Disagree			(3)	7	10.77%						2.05
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	6.15%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.54%	ı					
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD					, and the second				
65/188 (34.57%)	2.05	0.74									



ne omversity provid	es adequat	a training of	of staff to	use appropri	ate applicat	ion so	ftware.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	12	18.75%						
Agree			(2)	34	53.13%						0.40
Disagree			(3)	14	21.88%						2.13
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	4.69%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.56%	ı					
				'		0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the following	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The University mainta	ins and rep	laces cur	rent softw	are and equip	oment, as ne	eded.					
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Res	oonses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	13	20%						
Agree			(2)	39	60%						
Disagree			(3)	9	13.85%						2.00
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.08%						
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.08%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	2.00	0.70									


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The University's com	munication	networks	(email, te	ephone, and	Internet) are	adeq	uate fo	my need	s.		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	21	32.81%						
Agree			(2)	38	59.38%						
Disagree			(3)	3	4.69%						1.78
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.13%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD					·			·	
64/188 (34.04%)	1.78	0.68					-	·		-	

2 - Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy. Appropriate security measures are used to monitor and protect the confidentiality and integrity of academic systems, administrative systems, and institutional **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means Strongly Agree (1) 18 28.13% Agree (2) 43 67.19% Disagree (3) 0 0% 1.74 Strongly Disagree (4) 1 1.56% 2 Not Applicable (0) 3.13% 25 75 100 Course 0 Return Rate Mean STD 64/188 (34.04%) 1.74 0.54

The University has ad	equate libra	ary/learnii	ng resourc	ces.								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means	
Strongly Agree			(1)	13	20%							
Agree			(2)	38	58.46%							
Disagree			(3)	2	3.08%						1.95	
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	6.15%							
Not Applicable			(0)	8	12.31%							
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course	

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The library's hours of	operation	are adequ	ate.								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	10	15.38%						
Agree			(2)	35	53.85%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.54%	ı					1.98
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	6.15%						
Not Applicable			(0)	15	23.08%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·								
65/188 (34.57%)	1.98	0.74									

2 - Please rate the fo	llowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The library has an ad	equate phys	ical facili	ty to hous	e the necess	ary library re	source	es.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	11	17.74%						_
Agree			(2)	36	58.06%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.23%						1.90
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.23%						
Not Applicable			(0)	11	17.74%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
62/188 (32.98%)	1.90	0.64									



ne place where I wo	k is comfor	table and	appropria	te for the wo	rk l do.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	22	33.85%						
Agree			(2)	36	55.38%						
Disagree			(3)	5	7.69%						1.80
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.08%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the foll	owing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The content and design	gn of MUW	publicatio	ns produc	ced and distri	buted by Ml	JW are	accura	ite and co	onsistent	t in des	cribing the University.
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	18	28.13%						
Agree			(2)	40	62.5%						
Disagree			(3)	3	4.69%						1.75
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	3	4.69%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
64/188 (34.04%)	1.75	0.54									

2 - Please rate the fo	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I have access to a cu	rrent univer	sity orgar	nizational	chart.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	16	24.62%						
Agree			(2)	41	63.08%						
Disagree			(3)	4	6.15%						1.91
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	4.62%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.54%	ı					
			'			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.91	0.71									

2 - Please rate the fol			oo. amig to	c uoouiu	- ,.						
I have access to MUV	V's current _l	olicies.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree	·		(1)	20	30.77%						
Agree			(2)	44	67.69%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%						1.69
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.54%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.69	0.47									

2 - Please rate the fo	iowing state	ments ac	coruing to	tileli accura	cy.						
I am familiar with the	requiremen	ts of fede	ral law on	the privacy o	f student re	cords.					
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	23	35.94%			1			
Agree			(2)	37	57.81%						
Disagree			(3)	3	4.69%						1.72
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.56%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
64/188 (34.04%)	1.72	0.63									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I am aware of MUW's	equipment	and inven	tory proce	edures.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Re	sponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	18	27.69%						
Agree			(2)	35	53.85%						
Disagree			(3)	5	7.69%						1.98
Strongly Disagree			(4)	6	9.23%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.54%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.98	0.86									


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I am familiar with MU	W's policy a	nd proce	dures for I	nandling pers	ons with we	apons	on can	ipus.			
Response Option	· · ·				Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	21	32.31%						
Agree			(2)	37	56.92%						
Disagree			(3)	5	7.69%						1.82
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.08%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.82	0.70									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I am familiar with MU	W's policy a	bout alco	hol and d	rugs on camp	us.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	25	38.46%						
Agree			(2)	37	56.92%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.08%						1.68
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.54%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.68	0.62									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I believe that the Univ	ersity conti	ributes to	the needs	of the comm	unity throug	jh ser	vice or p	oublic ou	treach.		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	21	32.31%						
Agree			(2)	37	56.92%						
Disagree			(3)	3	4.62%						1.81
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	4.62%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.54%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.81	0.73									

2 - Please rate the fol	Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
I have participated in	one or mor	e public s	ervice act	ivities during	the past yea	ır.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent F	Respo	nses		Means	
Strongly Agree			(1)	16	24.62%								
Agree			(2)	33	50.77%								
Disagree			(3)	10	15.38%							2.00	
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	4.62%								
Not Applicable			(0)	3	4.62%								
			•			0	25	50)	75	100	Course	
Return Rate	Mean	STD											
65/188 (34.57%)	2.00	0.79		·									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
MUW conducts regul	ar practice	drills to p	epare for	emergency s	tuations.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	25	38.46%						
Agree			(2)	33	50.77%						
Disagree			(3)	6	9.23%						1.74
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.54%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
65/188 (34.57%)	1.74	0.69									



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I feel safe and secure	on the MU\	V campus).								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	16	25%						
Agree			(2)	36	56.25%						
Disagree			(3)	7	10.94%						1.98
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	6.25%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.56%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
64/188 (34.04%)	1.98	0.79									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.							
I am aware that the U	niversity ha	s a Behav	ioral Inter	vention Team	ı (BIT).							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent	Respo	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	25	39.06%							
Agree			(2)	26	40.63%							
Disagree			(3)	9	14.06%							1.88
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	6.25%							
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%							
						0	25		50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
64/188 (34.04%)	1.88	0.88										


2 - Please rate the following	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
I believe there is dive	rsity among	my peers	at the Un	iversity.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res _l	oonses		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	19	29.23%								
Agree			(2)	36	55.38%								
Disagree			(3)	7	10.77%						1.88		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.08%								
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.54%	ı							
						0	25	50	75	100	Course		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·				·						
65/188 (34.57%)	1.88	0.72	•				-						


	am aware that the University has a policy that prohibits sexual misconduct.													
Response Option	•		Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percen	t Resp	onses		Means			
Strongly Agree			(1)	34	52.31%									
Agree			(2)	31	47.69%									
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					1.48			
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.40			
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1								
						0	25	50	75	100	Course			

2 - Please rate the foll	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.							
I am aware that the Ui	niversity ha	s a desigr	nated pers	on to coordin	nate the Univ	ersit	y's effoi	ts to e	limina	ate sex	ual mi	sconduct on campus.
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	espon	ises		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	37	56.92%							
Agree			(2)	27	41.54%							
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1						1.46
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.54%	ı						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1						
			•			0	25	50		75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
65/188 (34.57%)	1.46	0.59	•					Ţ,				

2 - Please rate the following	Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
I am aware that the U	niversity's p	oolicy on s	sexual mis	sconduct cov	ers sexual h	arassme	nt, sex	ual ass	ault, do	mestic v	violence, dating violence, and stalking.		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Resp	onses		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	38	58.46%								
Agree			(2)	27	41.54%								
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					1.42		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.72		
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1							
						0	25	50	75	100	Course		
Return Rate	Mean	STD											
65/188 (34.57%)	1.42	0.50											

2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.													
I am aware that I am	am aware that I am responsible for reporting sexual misconduct of which I have been informed or have witnessed to the University's Title IX Coordinator.													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Agree			(1)	38	58.46%									
Agree			(2)	27	41.54%									
Disagree			(3)	0	0%						1.42			
Strongly Disagree		(4)	0	0%						1.42				
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%									
						0	25	50	75	100	Course			
Return Rate	Mean	STD												
65/188 (34.57%)	1.42	0.50												





Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses	
Educational Programs	(1)	46	74.19%					
Cultural Programs	(2)	35	56.45%					
Recreational/Fitness Programs	(3)	51	82.26%					
Food Services	(4)	26	41.94%					
Bookstore	(5)	27	43.55%					
Health Center	(6)	45	72.58%					
	<u>.</u>			0	25	50	75	100
Return Rate 62/188 (32.98%)						_		